## 10/646,473 **Application Number TRANSMITTAL** 08-21-2003 Filing Date Jamie Wakeam First Named Inventor **FORM** Group Art Unit 2625 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) 8478 **Confirmation Number** SINGH, SATWANT K **Examiner Name** Sent via Express Mail Label No.: Attorney Docket Number 305154.01 ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) ☐ Fee Transmittal Form ☐ Assignment Papers After Allowance Communication to TC ☐ Fee Attached (for an Application) ☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Amendment / Reply ( pages) ☐ Drawing(s) ( sheets) Appeals and Interferences After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) ☐ Declaration Appeal Communication to TC ☐ Newly Executed ( pages) ☐ A copy from a prior application ■ Extension of Time Request Proprietary Information (37 CFR 1.63(d)) ( pages) ■ Express Abandonment Request Status Letter ☐ Licensing-related Papers Information Disclosure Statement with Application Data Sheet Form PTO/SB/08A ( pages) ☐ Petition Response to Notice to File Missing Parts Request for Corrected Filing Receipt ☐ Petition to Convert to a Provisional A copy of the Notice to File Missing Application Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5 Return Receipt Postcard General Power of Attorney (SB80) CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION Other Enclosure(s) (please identify ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) below): ☑ Issue Fee Transmittal ☐ Terminal Disclaimer I hereby certify that this correspondence is being ☐ Comments on Statement of electronically deposited with the USPTO via Reasons for Allowance ☐ Request for Refund EFS-Web on the date shown below: CD, Number of CD(s) /Noemi Tovar/ Signature November 17, 2008 Date The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional Remarks Noemi Tovar fees required, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 50-Printed Name 0463 for the above identified patent application. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT /Nathan M. Rau/ Signature Reg. No. 45,466 Name of Attorney or Agent Nathan M. Rau Date November 17, 2008 Tel. 425-706-6882 Facsimile No. **MICROSOFT CORPORATION** Assignee Name:

ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

22971

Customer Number:

First Named Inventor: Jamie Wakeam Attorney Docket No.: 305154.01

Application No.: 10/646,473 Group Art Unit: 2625 Filed: August 21, 2003 Confirmation Number: 8478

Customer No.: 22971 Examiner: SATWANT K

**SINGH** 

Title: ELECTRONIC INK PROCESSING

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,

Microsoft Corporation

Date: November 17, 2008 By: /Nathan M. Rau/

Nathan M. Rau, Reg. No.: 45,466
Direct telephone 425-706-6882
Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

November 17, 2008	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar